# **Amortized Noisy Channel Neural Machine Translation**

2

I NYU	
----------	--

Richard Yuanzhe Pang <sup>1</sup>	He He <sup>1</sup>	Kyunghyun Cho <sup>123</sup>
<sup>1</sup> New York University	<sup>2</sup> Genentech	<sup>3</sup> CIFAR Fellow

**Background and Goal** 

#### Naïve decoding based on the forward translator

**Training**: train  $p_f$  using (**X**, **Y**) **Inference**: greedy decoding or beam search with small beam size (e.g., *b*=5)

### One way of noisy channel decoding: beam search and rerank (BSR)

**Training**: train  $p_f$  and  $p_r$  using (**X**, **Y**)

**Approach 1: knowledge distillation (KD)** 

Step 1: train  $p_f$  using (X, Y) Step 2: generate pseudo-corpus  $Y_{pseudo}$  by BSR Step 3: train  $p_{KD}$  using (X,  $Y_{pseudo}$ )

Effectively minimizing the KL-div between the distribution induced by the pseudo-corpus obtained through BSR and our model distribution

**Methods and Results** 

**Approach 2: one-step deviation imitation learning (IM)** Call our new network A. To train A, intuitively: Use cross entropy to...

*p<sub>f</sub>*: forward translator

models p(*target*-lang sentence | *source*-lang sentence) *p<sub>r</sub>*: reverse translator

models p(source-lang sentence | target-lang sentence)

Inference: For each source sentence x, (1) do beam search with beam size 50–100 (SLOW!); (2) rerank using the following objective and pick the top-ranked translation  $\log p_f(\mathbf{y} \mid \mathbf{x}) + \gamma \log p_r(\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{y}) + \gamma' \log p_{\rm lm}(\mathbf{y})$ 

Used in many top/winning models in WMT competitions

Can we train a **new network** such that if we do greedy decoding using the new network, the

- match the *t*-th step distribution of *A* and the *t*-th step distribution of  $p_f$
- match onehot( $\mathbf{x}_t$ ) and the *t*-th step distribution of  $p_r$  ( $p_r$  is a function of A)

Approach 3: Q learning adapted from DQN used to train Atari games Want: Q ("future return" – higher is better); Define:  $s_t = (y_{< t}, x), a_t = y_t$  $r_t = \log p_f(y_t | y_{< t}, x), \text{ if } t < T$  $= \log p_f(\mathbf{y}_T \mid \mathbf{y}_{<T}, \mathbf{x}) + \gamma \log p_r(\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{y}), \text{ if } t = T$ Given  $p_f$ ,  $p_r$ , translation dataset D. Initialize  $Q_{\phi}$  and  $Q'_{\phi}$  by  $p_f$ .

while not converged do

Collect training trajectories, and sample a minibatch *B* Compute target  $R_t$ :

## translations will maximize R(y) = $\log p_f(\mathbf{y} \mid \mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{y} \log p_r(\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{y})?$

#### Criteria for successful amortization

#### **Inference** speed

Successful if the inference is faster than BSR. Guaranteed!

#### **Translation reward**

Successful if the forward rewards of the generated sentences are comparable to the forward rewards by BSR, and the reverse rewards are comparable to the reverse rewards by BSR.

Translation quality (approximated by BLEU/BLEURT) Successful if the BLEURT of our translations are similar to the BLEURT by BSR.

if t < T, then  $R_t = r_t + \max_{a_{t+1}} Q'_{\phi}(s_{t+1}, a_{t+1})$ if t = T, then  $R_t = r_T$ 

Update  $\phi$  (using gradient descent) by the objective  $\operatorname{argmin}_{\phi} [Q_{\phi}(s_t, a_t) - R_t]^2$ Update  $Q'_{\phi}$ :  $Q'_{\phi} < -Q_{\phi}$  every K steps



#### Discussion

- "BSR  $\rightarrow$  high BLEURT" doesn't imply "higher reward  $\rightarrow$  higher **BLEURT**"
- KD/IL-generated translations are similar (in terms of corpus-level) BLEU); they are different from Q-generated translations, possibly due to how reverse reward is presented to KD/IL vs. Q
- Q learning also applies to text generation (we trained Q from scratch!) – rarely used in NLG; but Q learning doesn't do well when the source sentence is long (> 80 tokens) possibly due to the optimization difficulty given by the sparse reverse reward
- Our approach: lower forward reward
- ...higher reverse reward than  $p_f$  (b=5) but lower than BSR
- that of BSR
- IM's BLEURT significantly higher than that of  $p_f$  (b=5)